Clinico-Molecular Real-World Data Demonstrates Prognostic Significance of a **Three-Gene Biomarker for Colorectal Liver Oligometastases**

Alia Zander, Caroline Epstein, Kabir Manghnani, Ben Terdich, Sun Hae Hong, Justin Guinney, Halla Nimeiri, Martin Stumpe, Tim Taxter, Kyle A. Beauchamp Tempus Labs, Chicago

INTRODUCTION



Variable	Value	N (%)	1 yr progression rate
Metastasis timing	Synchronous	189 (68.5%)	52.9
	Metachronous	87 (31.5%)	66.5
Sample collection site	Colon/rectum	51 (18.5%)	55.7
	Liver	225 (81.5%)	57.7
Neoadjuvant therapy	No	160 (58.0%)	45.7
	Yes	116 (42.0%)	70.9
Adjuvant therapy	No	107 (38.8%)	47.8
	Yes	169 (61.2%)	60.8

Table 1: Overview of patient population. Synchronous diagnoses were defined as
 primary and metastatic diagnoses within 12 months.

SUMMARY

- RWD cohort can be leveraged for further biomarker discover and validation.

RESULTS



Figure 1: KM curves representing rwTTP based on the number of genes in which a patient has any variants reported among RAS (NRAS or KRAS), TP53, and SMAD4, which ranges from 0 to 3. Median rwTTP for 3 mutations is 3.7 months, 2 mutations is 10.5 months, 1 mutation is 10.2 months, and 0 mutations is 31.0 months.

Covariate	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Ν
3-gene biomarker (continuous)	1.42 (1.15-1.77)	0.001	276
3 mutations vs. 2 mutations	2.47 (1.44-4.22)	0.001	112
3 mutations vs. 1 mutation	2.55 (1.54-4.22)	<0.001	182
3 mutations vs. 1 or 2 mutations	2.51 (1.55-4.05)	<0.001	267
3 mutations vs. 0 mutations	10.6 (2.2-50.8)	0.003	36

Table 2: Multivariate analysis of the three-gene biomarker as a continuous variable or pairwise combinations. CoxPH models were fit while controlling for neoadjuvant therapy, adjuvant therapy, and metachronous vs. synchronous colorectal cancer.

Acknowledgements: We thank Amrita A. Iyer, Ph.D, for poster preparation & review. **Correspondence:** kyle.beauchamp@tempus.com

200

Figure 2: Upset plot showing the mutational frequency for each gene in the three-gene biomarker and frequency of co-mutations within this set. SMAD4 is mutated in 55 patients total, RAS in 136, and TP53 in 215.

SMAD4

TP53

This study is limited by its retrospective design with RWD, which resulted in missing data on clinical covariates of interest, lack of control over sample acquisition methods, and insufficient prospective follow up to evaluate overall survival. • The general CLO population is notably more homogenous than the resectable metastatic CRC population on key prognostic factors such as BRAF mutations and MSI status. Only 2.9% of patients in this RWD cohort have BRAF mutations and only 1.1% are MSI-high, demonstrating that research on additional prognostic markers is of high importance. This RWD cohort shows interesting differences from the Vauthey study. First, the RWD cohort suggests similar outcomes among the 1 and 2 gene mutation subgroups. Second, the RWD cohort suggests a weaker association between RAS mutation and outcome, independent of the mutation count. While further studies are needed to fully validate the three-gene biomarker, the combined mutational status of RAS, TP53 and SMAD4 has now been shown in two independent cohorts to be a potential novel prognostic factor for CLO patients.

RAS/TP53

SMAD4/RAS

SMAD4/TP53

SMAD4

A novel clinico-molecular RWD cohort allowed independent rwTTP validation of a three-gene biomarker in the CLO setting. This Although future prospective studies are warranted, the significance of the three-gene biomarker as a prognostic factor suggests that mutation status of RAS, SMAD4, and TP53 may be helpful to guide treatment decisions surrounding curative surgery.

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Ν	
3-gene biomarker (continuous	s) 1.40 (1.14-1.73)	0.002	276	
3 mutations vs. 2 mutations	2.14 (1.27-3.60)	0.004	112	
3 mutations vs. 1 mutations	2.34 (1.43-3.83)	<0.001	182	
3 mutations vs. 0 mutations	8.31 (1.86-37)	0.006	36	
RAS	1.10 (0.81-1.48)	0.57	276	
SMAD4	1.64 (1.15-2.33)	0.006	276	
TP53	1.45 (0.995-2.12)	0.053	276	
Neoadjuvant therapy	1.80 (1.33-2.44)	<0.001	276	
Adjuvant therapy	1.27 (0.90-1.80)	0.17	276	
Synchronous vs. metachrono	us 1.14 (0.82-1.56)	0.44	276	
Table 3: Univariate analysisconfounders.	of the main variables and	l interest and	relevant	
100 ບ	-			
10 size				
ters				

WT Figure 3: KM curves representing rwTTP for mutations in each gene in the three-gene biomarker independently, with all combinations of double mutants, with all three genes mutated, and with none of the genes mutated (WT). Total at risk counts do not add up to the full cohort size of 276 because two patients progressed on their anchor date.

DISCUSSION



TEMPUS

