Transcriptomic biomarkers of therapeutic response and resistance to antibody-drug conjugates in metastatic breast cancer: a comprehensive multi-center study

Samer Alkassis¹, Marla Lipsyc-Sharf¹, Catherine Traverso², Adam Joseph Dugan², Binyam Yilma², Yuan Yuan³, Funda Meric-Bernstam⁴, Hope S. Rugo⁵, Joyce O'Shaughnessy⁶, Mothaffar F. Rimawi⁷, Rachel Schiff⁷, David Elashoff¹, John A Glaspy¹, Martina Mcdermott¹, Amy Lauren Cummings¹, Jessica Symons², Stamatina Fragkogianni², Jacob Mercer², Calvin Chao², Aditya Bardia¹

¹UCLA Health Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA; ²Tempus AI, Inc., Chicago, IL; ³Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Houston, TX; ⁵University of California, San Francisco Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, San Francisco, CA; ⁶Texas Oncology-Baylor Charles A. Sammons Cancer Center, Dallas, TX; ⁷Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

INTRODUCTION

Antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) have markedly improved outcomes for patients with metastatic breast cancer (MBC). However, most patients with MBC treated with ADCs ultimately have disease progression via either primary or acquired ADC resistance. Therefore, identifying biomarkers predictive of ADC efficacy is critical. Here, we characterized the transcriptomic profile of drug efflux genes in MBC prior to ADC treatment to explore biomarkers of response and resistance to three of the ADCs FDAapproved for treatment of MBC as of January 1, 2025: sacituzumab govitecan (SG), trastuzumab deruxtecan (T-DXd), and trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1).

METHODS



SUMMARY

RESULTS

Overview of the Cohort

Characteristic	All (N=453)	T-DM1 (n=71)	T-DXd (n=178)	SG (n=204)	P- value ¹
Age at Primary Diagnosis, Median (Q1, Q3)	52 (44, 63)	55 (46, 64)	54 (45, 64)	52 (43, 62)	0.104
Race, n (%)					0.518
Asian	9 (2.0%)	1 (1.4%)	5 (2.8%)	3 (1.5%)	
Black or African American	64 (14%)	7 (9.9%)	23 (13%)	34 (17%)	
Other Race	23 (5.1%)	2 (2.8%)	13 (7.3%)	8 (3.9%)	
Unknown	109 (24%)	22 (31%)	40 (22%)	47 (23%)	
White	248 (55%)	39 (55%)	97 (54%)	112 (55%)	
Ethnicity, n (%)					0.97
Not Hispanic or Latino	228 (88%)	32 (89%)	89 (88%)	107 (87%)	
Hispanic or Latino	32 (12%)	4 (11%)	12 (12%)	16 (13%)	
Breast Cancer Subtype, n (%)					<0.001
TNBC	161 (36%)	4 (5.6%)	30 (17%)	127 (62%)	
HR+, HER2-	122 (27%)	4 (5.6%)	78 (44%)	40 (20%)	
NOS	83 (18%)	15 (21%)	33 (19%)	35 (17%)	
HR+, HER2+	50 (11%)	32 (45%)	17 (9.6%)	1 (0.5%)	
HR-, HER2+	37 (8.2%)	16 (23%)	20 (11%)	1 (0.5%)	
Days of ADC Treatment, Median (Q1, Q3)	130 (76, 225)	148 (63, 306)	144 (103, 221)	116 (62, 198)	0.102
Line of Therapy, n (%)					0.001
1	106 (23%)	25 (35%)	30 (17%)	51 (25%)	
2	148 (33%)	24 (34%)	51 (29%)	73 (36%)	
3	86 (19%)	12 (17%)	35 (20%)	39 (19%)	
4+	113	10 (14%)	62 (35%)	41 (20%)	

Table 1. This diverse cohort had a median age of 52 and a range of races (55% White, 14% Black, 7.1% Other, 24% Unknown). Median DoT across all patients was 130 days.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Matthew Kase for poster development.

• Multi-modal analysis identified drug efflux pump gene expression as a potential biomarker of resistance, primarily to T-DXd. • These findings should be further validated, and combinatorial clinical trial strategies may be explored.

Correlations Between Efflux Pump Gene Expression and ADC DoT



gure 1. Higher expression of drug efflux pump genes was correlated with shorter DoT for T-DXd (ABCB1: Pearson's r=-0.290, p=0.017; ABCC1: Pearson's r=-0.274, p=0.025). No significant sociations between efflux pump expression and DoT were found in the SG nor T-DM1 cohorts

ssociations Between Efflux Pump Gene Expression and OS Following ADC Treatment



igure 2. Higher expression of ABCB1 was associated with worse OS for T-DXd (HR: 1.30, 95% CI: 1.10 - 1.53, p = 0.002). In the SG cohort, higher pre-treatment ABCC1 and ABCC4 gene expression was associated with worse OS (HR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.02-1.75, p=0.034; HR:1.19, 95% CI: 1.00-1.41, p=0.042). In the T-DM1 cohort, no significant association was observed between efflux pump gene expression and OS. In evaluating rwOS, the index date was considered the ADC treatment start date, observations were censored at 5 years after treatment start, and gene expression measures were included as continuous predictors in the models. To account for immortal time bias, the risk-set adjustment method was used and the study entry date was taken to be the first date of Tempus sequencing. The proportional hazards assumption was tested for all models and results were only reported if the model met that assumption.

Abstract #1041

C) T-DM1

C) T-DM1

